

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/693,512		Applicant(s) SHORT ET AL.	
	Examiner THOMAS DUONG		Art Unit 2445	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Duong. (3) _____.

(2) Adam Kaplan, Reg. No. 59,109. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Low et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attendees discussed the improper of the finality of the previous office action dated August 20, 2008. The Examiner agrees with the Applicants' representative and will reopen prosecution of the application by issuing a new Non-Final Rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445	
-----------------------------------------------------	--